

CONFRONTING SEXUAL NIHILISM

Analytic Table of Contents

PREFACE

I.A CATHOLIC ARGUING IN A SECULAR FORUM

§0 Goals of this chapter

- I. 1. Intended readership
 - I. 1.1 The secular focus
 - I. 1.1a Sceptical dismissal of philosophical analysis of terms
 - I. 1.1b No appeal to divine authority
 - I. 1.1c Rationale for the title
 - I. 1.2 My Catholicism
 - I. 1.3 The emphasis on philosophy
- I. 2. Overview of topics
 - I. 2.1 The Paternal Principle, 1st Statement
 - I. 2.2 Preliminary clarifications, marriage
 - I. 2.3 On restriction to male sexuality
 - I. 2.3a Not seeking origin of male sexual morality
 - I. 2.3b Principle is for forming male sexual character
 - I. 2.3c No principle for female sexual morality proposed,
 - I. 2.4 The sexual triad: Courting, mating, bonding,
 - I. 2.4a Focus on male orgasm
 - I. 2.4b On not using notion of “intrinsically evil act” [
 - I. 2.5 Focus on character building, character ethics,
- I. 3. Main themes in a case for the Paternal Principle as an antidote to nihilism
 - I. 3.1 Preliminary remarks on nihilism and moral worth
 - I. 3.2 Role of character stances in the argument
 - I. 3.3 Sexual nihilism as moral neutrality of sexuality
 - I. 3.4 Parental stance and morality from nature
 - I. 3.5 Parental stance and the Paternal Principle
 - I. 3.6 Paternal Principle and daily life
 - I. 3.7 Role of sexual alienation in the argument
 - I. 3.8 Special features of my line of argument
- I. 4 Early Catholic education
 - I. 4.1 Confrontation with birth control
 - I. 4.2 No personal revelations,
- I. 5. Philosophical background
 - I. 5.1 Training as a logical positivist
 - I. 5.2 Wittgensteinian influence,
 - I. 5.3 Kantian influence but no Kantian scholarship

II: SEXUAL TRIVIALISATION AS SEXUAL ALIENATION

II.0 Chapter goals and overview

- II. 1. Truth about triviality
- II.2 Steven Pinker on illusion of harm in innocent incest
 - II.2.1 Religious condemnation of “innocent incest”

- II. 2.2 Romantic contempt for “innocent incest”
- II.2.3 Recreational sex and bonding
- II. 3 Medical harm and Pinker’s allegations of an illusion
 - II.3.1 Misjudgments of the moral instincts according to Pinker
 - II.3.2 Semantics of moral judging and the character stance
 - II.3.3 Moral instincts and moral thinking
- II. 4 Alleged illusion of not being utilitarians
- II.5 Counterexamples and illusion of immediacy
- II.6 Comparison of diagnoses of illusions
- II.7 Costs and benefits of cost-benefit analysis
 - II.7.1 The derivative notion of moral harm
 - II.7.2 Moral harm and cost-benefit calculations
 - II. 7.3 Moral harm of “innocent incest”
 - II.7.4 The moral harm of flouting cost-benefit calculation
 - II. 7.5 ‘Moral harm’ as tool for resolving ambiguity
 - II. 7.5a Ambiguity of “bad consequences”
 - II. 7.5b Medical harm and default sense of “bad consequence”
- II.8 Sections elaborating on moral harm
 - II.8.1 Moral harm vs. moral offense
 - II.8.2 Moral harm and victimless crimes
 - II. 8.3 Moral harm and forgiveness
 - II.8.4 Kantian interpretations of moral harm, moral benefit, moral worth
 - II. 8.4a Moral good
 - II.8.4b Moral worth
 - II.8.4c Kantian example of moral worth
- II. 9 Ontological status of moral harm & moral good
- II.10 Moral harm and clergy sexual abuse
 - II.10.1 Moral harm and medical harm
 - II.10.2 Blindness to moral harm & exaggeration of medical harm
- II.11 Sexual trivialization, pornography, sexual alienation
- II. 12 Focus on progressivism
 - II. 12.1 Sexual trivialization and progressivism
 - II. 12.2 Demonic sexuality and progressivism

III. SEXUAL DEMONIZATION AS SEXUAL ALIENATION,

- III. 0 Goals and themes of this chapter
- III. 1. Humanizing vs. Demonizing
 - III.1.1 Physiological and psychological demonization
 - III. 1.2 Humanizing the demon
- III. 2 Turning to the dark side
 - III. 2.1 Temptation to demonization
 - III. 2.2 Light from the dark side
 - III. 2.3 Fiction and truth about sex
 - III. 2.4. Science, theoretical and practical reasoning, truth about sex
 - III. 2.5 The truth about sex and normativity
- III. 3 Controlling AIDS by negotiating with the demon
 - III. 3.1 Epstein on AIDS in Uganda

- III. 3.2 Zero Grazing principle
- III. 3.3 Fear of magic and irrationality in sexuality
- III. 4 Demonization and sexual alienation
 - III. 4.1 Two self model of sexual alienation
 - III. 4.2 Authentic overcoming alienation may not bring happiness
 - III. 4.3 Cartoon of a sexually alienated man
 - III. 4.4 Progressivism requires sexual alienation
- III. 5 Demonic or animal?
 - III. 5.1 Animal = morally neutral
 - III. 5.2 Moral neutrality, sexual alienation and nihilism
 - III. 5.3 Case against interpreting our sexuality as animal
- III. 6 Transition to a “philosophy of mind”
 - III. 6.1. Mind-body dualism indirectly criticized
 - III. 6.2 Conjectures on mind-body interaction problem
- III. 7 Terminology, intelligence, rationality etc.
 - III. 7.1 Reason not private
 - III. 7.2 Skeptical Conceptualism
 - III. 7.3 On humanity etc.
 - III. 7.4 Actual Rationality, ideal rationality
 - III. 7.5 Tversky and Kahnman vs. ideal rationality
- III. 8 Humanity as embodied rationality
 - III. 8.1 Sexuality & intelligence as natural forces
 - III. 8.2 Human sexuality as a use of human rationality
 - III. 8.3 Immersing reason in sexuality
- III. 8.4 “Irrationality” of reason immersed in biology
- III. 9 Fighting Hume on relation of reasons and passions
 - III. 9.1 Humanity as what we are and ought to be
 - III. 9.2 Humanity as an end-in-it-self
 - III. 9.3 Humean model of reason being “enslaved by reason”
 - III. 9.4 Reason mastering the passions
 - III. 9.5 Reason mastering a passion for masturbation
 - III. 9.6 Aside on rationality, simplicity and happiness
 - III. 9.7 Hume’s overcoming sexual alienation by “demonizing” reason
- III. 10 Transition to main argument for the Paternal Principle

PATERNAL PRINCIPLE FROM THE PARENTAL STANCE

IV. 0. Chapter goals and character

PART I

- IV. 1 Intellectual respectability of moral judging,
 - IV. 1.1 Features of moral sexual thinking
 - IV. 1.1a Vindication of moral thinking
 - IV. 1.1b Primacy of moral thinking
 - IV. 1.1c Legitimacy & truth
- IV. 2 Normative framework & assumptions
 - IV. 2.1 Humanity and sexuality as an ends in themselves
 - IV. 2.2 Functions and impersonal purposes as a moral function
 - IV. 2.3 The purpose of humanity, sexuality and the meaning of life

- IV. 2.4 Character stance and the purpose of humanity
 - IV. 2.4a Parental stance and the purpose of sexuality
 - IV. 2.4b Characters stances and the questions of morality
- IV. 2.5 Justification of the framework
- IV. 3 Argument for the Paternal Principle in the preceding framework
 - IV. 3.1 Conversational use of the moral framework
 - IV. 3.1a Modified Kantianism brought into the conversation
 - IV. 3.1b Personal path to the reasoning behind the Paternal Principle
 - IV. 3.1c Paternal Principle vs. Zero grazing principle
 - IV. 3.2 On marriage,
 - IV. 3.2a Life-long monogamy in a unit for conception and nurture
 - IV. 3.2b Marital sex
- IV. 4. What the Paternal Principle tells us
 - IV. 4.1 Paternal Principle emphasizes “ought to be” over “ought to do”
 - IV. 4.2 In principle wrong vs. intrinsically wrong
 - IV. 4.3 *Prima facie* wrong vs. in principle wrong and intrinsically wrong
 - IV. 4.4 Value of in-principle wrong over *prima facie* wrong

PART II

- IV. 5 Rhetorical status: strengths & limitations
 - IV. 5.1 The case as ordinary language philosophizing
 - IV. 5.2 Rhetorical approach and moral conflicts
 - IV. 5.3 A rhetorical approach and moral relativism
 - IV. 5.4 Various merits of rhetorical arguments
 - IV. 5.4a No direct appeals to reason, good or moral intuitions
 - IV. 5.4b No proof
 - IV. 5.4c Avoiding “identity arguments” and *ad populum* fallacies
- IV. 6 Metaphysics of the character stance
 - IV. 6.1 Incompleteness
 - IV. 6.2 No assumption of causal determinism
 - IV. 6.3 Only statistical laws for thoughts, feelings, choices
 - IV. 6.4 On possibility of weakness of will
 - IV. 6.5 Normative control and natural morality
 - IV. 6.5a Sexual morality as reasoning of sexuality
 - IV. 6.5b Natural concern of practical reasoning
 - IV. 6.6 “Getting it right” and the character stance
 - IV. 6.6a Possibility of realism
 - IV. 6.6b Possibility of idealism, solipsism & nihilism
 - IV. 6.7 Correctness of moral judgments
- IV. 7 Review and the Character stance vs. nihilism

V.KANT, EMPIRICAL FACTS, UTILITARIAN REASONING

V.0 Chapter goals

- V. 1 Adaptation of the universal law version of Kant’s Categorical Imperative
 - V.1.1 The “bottom up” technique
 - V.1.2 The “top down” heuristic
 - V.1.3 Kant’s four examples from his *Foundations of the Metaphysics of Morals*.
- V. 2 Various Kantian themes

- V. 2.1 On the satisfaction of following a principle
 - V.2.1a Adaptation of Kantian notion of a good will
 - V.2.1b Adaptation of Kantian notion of moral worth
 - V.2.1c Overrating moral worth
 - V.2.1d Schiller challenge to moral worth
- V. 2.2 Maxims & general principles
- V. 2.3 Maxim associated with the Paternal Principle
- V. 3 Methodological topics, Using facts & consequentialist reasoning
 - V. 3.1 Facing natural facts
 - V.3.1a Factual claims accepted as factual background unless challenged
 - V.3.1b Generalizations guaranteed by explicit stipulation
 - V. 3.1c No physiological facts or psychological facts about reproduction *per se* are crucial for my case.
 - V.3.1d Avoiding factual claims by avoiding condemnation
 - V.3.2 Avoiding factual claims by avoiding consequentialism
 - V. 3.3 The dimension of consequentialist reasoning
 - V.3.4 Partial consequentialist reasoning
 - V. 3.5 Limits of public consequentialist reasoning

VI. THE PATERNAL PRINCIPLE IN MALE SEXUAL NATURE

- VI. 0. Goal and character of the chapter
- VI. 1 Difference from “standard” natural law argument
 - VI. 1.1 Dismissal of a Naïve Natural Law Condemnation of Homosexuality
 - VI. 1.2 Models of laws of nature set aside
 - VI. 1.3 Dismissal of a pseudo-Euthyphro dilemma about a “reason command” theory
- VI. 2. Sexuality as the source of sexuality morality
 - VI. 2.1 Founding morality on natural teleology
 - VI. 2.2 Scientific legitimacy of assuming natural teleology
 - VI. 2.3 Moral neutrality of frustrating an ends of natural systems
 - VI. 2.3a Functional vs. moral "ought,"
 - VI. 2.3b Nature as systems in conflict
 - VI. 2.4 Choosing a way to be reasonable from conflicting views of reason
- VI. 3 Possibility of nature telling us what we ought to do
 - VI. 3.1 Moral commands through natural human normative thinking
 - VI. 3.2 Three observations on natural normativity
 - VI. 3.3 The “stuff” of natural morality
 - VI. 3.4 The spiritual model of the moral life I am trying to dismiss,
- VI. 4 Various thoughts on moral authority coming from nature
 - VI. 4.1 Where authority does not reside
 - VI. 4.2 Moral authority needed for categorical imperatives
 - VI. 4.3 De facto legitimate moral conflicts
- VI. 5 Motivation for locating morality in natural stuff
 - VI. 5.1 Existential motivation for health model of character building
 - VI. 5.2 Factual motivation for health model of sexual morality

VII. LIVING IN SIN WITH THE PATERNAL PRINCIPLE

- VII. 0. Chapter overview and themes
- VII. 1. Condemnation of adultery. womanizing, *et al.*

- VII. 2. Confronting sexual reality
 - VII. 2.1 Reforming actual sexual behavior
 - VII. 2.2 Reforming actual sexual character
- VII. 3. Concepts for character evaluation
 - VII. 3.1 Sexual motives too shallow for character analysis
 - VII. 3.2 Attitudes toward sexual satisfaction expressed as maxims
 - VII. 3.3 Traits & virtue
 - VII. 3.4 Duties to oneself
- VII.4 Damaging sexual character
 - VII. 4.1 Acts damaging character
 - VII. 4.2 Compensating for character flaws
- VII. 5 Condemnation of masturbation, male homosexuality
 - VII. 5.1 Keeping male homosexuality “in the closet”
 - VII. 5.2 Male homosexuality and masturbation
- VII. 6 Tolerating immorality
 - VII. 6.1 Personal and public moral toleration
 - VII. 6.2 Excuses for the morally tolerable
 - VII. 6.3 Moral corruption via, notion of *prima facie* wrong
 - VII. 6.4 Reasonable disagreements about the morally tolerable
 - VII. 6.5 The morally tolerable in utilitarianism
- VII.7. Polemical asides on popular “Gay” issues
 - VII. 7.1 “Don’t ask, don’t tell”
 - VII. 7.2 Gay marriage **and marriage trivialization**
 - VII. 7.3 Judeo-Christian clergy who endorse homosexuality
- VII. 8 Maxim for coping with homosexuality
 - VII. 8.1 Cartoon of a homosexual honoring the Paternal Principle
 - VII. 8.2 Anti-nihilistic consolation in the homosexual cartoon
 - VII. 8.3 Tolerating homosexuality and co-habitation
- VII. 9 The Paternal Principle and sex education

VIII: ARTIFICIAL BIRTH CONTROL

- VIII. 0 Goals of a chapter on birth control
- VIII. 1 The “**honeymoon** is over,” terminology
- VIII. 2 Birth control by abortion and the Paternal Principle
 - VIII. 2.1 Supreme Court links contraception with abortion
 - VIII. 2.1a Abortion as the immoral method of birth control
 - VIII. 2.1b Mark and Julie’s surprise
 - VIII. 2.1c Aging and openness to conception
 - VIII. 2.2 Maxim holding abortion as the illegitimate method of birth control
 - VIII. 2.2a Avoiding pregnancy tests for birth defects
 - VIII. 2.2b Resolving never to have an abortion
- VIII. 3 Catholicism and Grisez et al. on birth control
- VIII. 4 Catholicism and E. Anscombe on birth control
- VIII. 5 Conflict of artificial birth control with the Paternal Principle
 - VIII. 5.1 Causal manipulation vs. controlling by free will
 - VIII. 5.1a Contraception in conflict with humanity as an end in itself
 - VIII. 5.1b Contraception subverting the parental stance, to progressivism

- VIII. 5.1c Putting girls “on the pill”
- VIII. 5.2 Options about artificial birth control
- VIII. 6 Natural Family Planning not contraception
- VIII. 7 Self-condemning maxim of a male contraceptive
- VIII. 8 Rationalizations for artificial birth control
- VIII. 9 Scattered remarks on married sexuality
- VIII. 10 Special birth control problems for Catholics

IX. NIHILISM AND ITS ANTIDOTES

- IX. 0 Chapter Overview, Duty as the antidote for nihilism
- IX. 1 Use of the ism suffix
- IX. 2. Atheism and nihilism
 - IX. 2.1 Components of nihilisms
 - IX. 2.2 Atheistic & moral nihilism
 - IX. 2.3 Order of nihilistic components
- IX. 3 Hume’s nihilism & distraction
 - IX. 3.1 Hume’s distraction antidote for nihilism
 - IX. 3.2 Dismissal of the distraction antidote for nihilism
- IX. 4 Place of amoralism in my strategy
 - IX. 4. 1 Amoralism & Moral nihilism,
 - IX. 4.2 Amoralism, permissibility and conduct
 - IX. 4.3 Amoralism and good conduct
 - IX. 4.4 Amoralism & varieties of moral relativism
 - IX. 4.5 Consistent versions of moral relativism
 - IX. 4.6 Skepticism and amoralism
- IX. 5 Strong vs. weak moral nihilism
 - IX. 5.1 Review of line of argument,
 - IX. 5.1a Weak moral nihilism
 - IX. 5.1b Sexual nihilism
 - IX. 5.2 Weak moral nihilism as the heteronomy of morality
- IX. 6 Existential theories
 - IX. 6.1 On an objective significance for life
 - IX. 6.2 Things in themselves, truth, objective significance
 - IX. 6.3 Religious example of an objective significance for life
 - IX. 6.4 A secularized humanist objective significance for life
 - IX. 6.5 Requirements for accepting an objective significance
- IX. 7 Ten questions on existential merits and demerits of the character stance
 - IX. 7.1 Is not taking the character stance actually another subjective solution for finding meaning in life along the pattern of choosing a meaning for your life?
 - IX. 7.2 Would not moral realism be a sufficient antidote to total nihilism?
 - IX. 7.3 What are some differences between the character stance and religion?
 - IX. 7.4 Does taking the character stance protect one against the despair associated with total nihilism?
 - IX. 7.5. Does the character stance offer individuals a prospect of happiness?
 - IX. 7.6 Does the character stance provide any incentive for morality?
 - IX. 7.7 Can the stance provide an antidote to nihilism if the course

- of our lives are determined by factors before our birth?
- IX. 7.8 Can the stance provide an antidote to nihilism if there is almost no chance of our becoming as we ought to be?
- IX. 7.9 Can the stance really protect against total nihilism without an incentive for morality?
- IX. 7.10 May people justify letting themselves come to believe the character stance?

X. SEXUAL NIHILISM AND ALTERNATIVE STANCES

- X. 0 Preview of setting aside alternative stances
- X. 1 Not a complete list of alternative stances
 - X. 1.1 Charting by moral attitude towards sexuality
 - X. 1.2 Alternative stances as varieties of sexual alienation
- X. 2 Square of opposition chart of four stances
 - X.2.1 Limitations of the chart
 - X. 2.2 Heuristic value of the chart
 - X. 2.3 Basic principles of the alternative stances
- X. 3 A principle of sexual progressivism
 - X. 3.1 Utilitarian (consequentialist) reasoning and progressivism
 - X.3.1a Overview of consequentialism as presented here
 - X. 3.1b Progressivism as utilitarianism
 - X. 3.1c Utilitarians & an obligation to have a good character
 - X. 3.2 Peter Singer's sexual progressivism and bestiality
 - X. 3.2a Quotation on Singer and sex with non-human animals
 - X. 3.2b Utilitarian permissibility of animal sex not theoretically interesting
 - X. 3.3 Singer's character stance
 - X. 3.3a Singer's Website proposals for a meaningful life,
 - X. 3.3b Maxim for Singer's progressive character stance,
 - X. 3.3c Ways of criticizing progressive character stance
 - X. 3.4 Progressive character stance only a choice
 - X. 3.5 Progressive character stance only a distraction from nihilism
 - X. 3.6 Progressivism with a non-consequentialist theory of justice,
 - X. 3.6a Dilemma for progressivism with a theory of justice
 - X. 3.6b Informal critique of progressivism with a theory of justice
 - X. 3.6c Metaphysical critique of progressivism et al., with a theory of justice
- X. 4 Dualism or rationalism
 - X. 4.1 Cartoon of a dualist,
 - X. 4.2 Dismissal of the dualistic stance
- X. 5 Romantic principle,
 - X. 5.1 Cartoon of a sexual romantic
 - X. 5.2 Dismissal of the Romantic stance
- X. 6 The stances on Zero Grazing Principle and the Paternal Principle
 - X. 6.1 The four stances on Zero Grazing Principle
 - X. 6.2 The four stances on the Paternal Principle

XI PRAGMATIC DEFENSE OF PARENTAL STANCE,

- XI. 0. Overview: Inventing and discovering the meaning of life
- XI. 1 Preliminary remarks on truth & belief.

- XI. 1.1 “discovery of” vs. “discovery that,”
 - XI. 1.1a Truth of moral imperatives
 - XI. 1.1b “believing in” vs. “believing that”
- XI. 2 Thinking to be true, professing, *Fides quaerens intellectum*,
 - XI. 2.1 *Fides quaerens intellectum* and pragmatic arguments
 - XI. 2.2 *fides quaerens intellectum* in mathematics
 - XI. 2.3 Pragmatic permission to believe
- XI. 3 Conditional arguments for obligations to believe
 - XI. 3.1 A conditional duty to believe in hell
 - XI. 3.2 Schema of integrity arguments
 - XI. 3.3 Douhat’s integrity argument for the Christian duty to believe in hell
- XI. 4 Pervasiveness of a fragment of the character stance
 - XI. 5 A working statement of the character stance and its rationale
 - XI. 5.1 Some fundamental assumptions of character stance
 - XI. 5.2 The point of taking the character stance
- XI. 6 The form of what is defended pragmatically
 - XI. 6.1 The actions to be pragmatically defended
 - XI. 6.2 Biases in evidence gathering for pragmatic defense
 - XI. 6.3 Analogy between stance taking and religious believing
- XI. 7 Conditions for pragmatic justification
 - XI. 7.1 Options as forced, momentous and live
 - XI. 7.2 Options as open
 - XI. 7.3 Options as not corrupting scientific practice
 - XI. 7.4 Options as morally “conservative”
 - XI. 7.5 Options as freely induced
 - XI. 7.6 Options as cost/benefit washes
 - XI. 7.7 Plato’s allegory of the cave and pragmatic defense
- XI. 8 Concluding remarks on pragmatic defense of character stance

XII CONFLICT EVASIONS: STANCE DIMENSIONS

- XII. 0 Chapter theme:
- XII. 1 Dimensions of stances
 - XII. 1.1 Dimensions & stance types
 - XII. 1.2 Nonmoral dimensions
 - XII.1.3 Assumption of moral neutrality of sexuality
- XII. 2 Elaboration on various dimensions
- XII. 3. Various stance dimensions concerning interactions of the sexes
 - XII. 3.1 Attitude towards sexual pleasure
 - XII. 3.2 Gender distinctions
 - XII. 3.3 Modesty in dress and action
 - XII. 3.4 Flirting and gallantry
 - XII.3.5 The erotic, the pornographic, raunchy, bawdy, the off-color etc.
 - XII. 3.6 Modesty in speech
- XII. 4 Repression dimensions
 - XII. 4.1 Sanctions
 - XII. 4.2 Communal sanctions
 - XII. 4.3 Legal sanctions

XII. 4.4 Tolerable immoralities
XII. 5 Our immorality vs. nihilism